

DOUBLE GLAZED: REFLECTION, NARCISSISM, AND FREUDIAN IMPLICATIONS IN TWINCEST PORNOGRAPHY

Carmen M. Cusack

This Article analyzes sociolegal and psychological implications of incest between twins, known as “twincest.” Section II, Part A outlines the *Miller* test. Section II, Part B analyzes legal theory behind governmental regulation of morality and twincest pornography. Point One under Part A of Section III explores fantasies and fetishization of twins in media and culture. Point Two of Part A in Section III discusses details of incest pornography and the most extensive list of freely accessible twincest pornography, ranging from erotic nude photos to hardcore videos depicting same-sex and opposite-sex twins participating in sexual activities. Part B in Section III evaluates psychoanalytic perspectives on the incest taboo, including case studies, to determine the significance of laws that criminalize twincest in light of voluntariness, coercion, and other considerations. Finally, Part C analyzes whether twin pornography could be found to be legally obscene

under Miller. Section IV concludes that incestuous pornography between twins could be held by courts to be legally obscene, but for unknown reasons, it is not routinely prosecuted like other fetish films.